# Logistic regression and classification problems

Teaching team of STA314

Department of Statistical Sciences University of Toronto

Monday October 7th, 2024

# Classification problems

#### Problem set 3 Q2

Consider the classification problem with the label of Y belong to  $\mathcal{C} := \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$  and any realization x of  $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . The Bayes classifier  $f^*$  at X = x is defined as

$$f^*(x) := \underset{f(x) \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E} \Big[ 1\{Y \neq f(X)\} \mid X = x \Big]$$

1. Prove that

$$f^*(x) = \underset{k \in C}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x).$$

2. Prove that the Bayes error at X = x equals to

$$1 - \max_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x).$$

1. Prove that

$$f^*(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x).$$

### Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = f(X) \mid X = x) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = f(X) = k \mid X = x)$$

$$= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x) \ 1\{f(x) = k\}$$

$$\leq \max_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x).$$

Moreover, the maximal value is achieved when  $f(x) = k^*$  for

$$k^* = \operatorname{argmax} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x).$$

This proves the first claim.

2. Prove that the Bayes error at X = x equals to

$$1 - \max_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x).$$

#### Proof.

By similar reasoning,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \neq f^{*}(X) \mid X = x) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(Y = f^{*}(X) \mid X = x)$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x) 1\{f^{*}(x) = k\}$$

$$= 1 - \max_{k \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = k \mid X = x).$$

3. Consider that K = 3. For a fixed  $x_0$ , assume that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = x_0) = 0.5$$
  
 $\mathbb{P}(Y = 2 \mid X = x_0) = 0.3$   
 $\mathbb{P}(Y = 3 \mid X = x_0) = 0.2.$ 

State the Bayes classifier at  $X = x_0$  and compute its error at  $X = x_0$ .

#### Solution.

As a reminder, the Bayes classifier  $f^*$  at X = x is defined as

$$f^*(x) := \underset{f(x) \in \mathcal{C}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbb{E} \Big[ 1\{Y \neq f(X)\} \mid X = x \Big]$$

We can see that  $f^*(x_0) = 1$  since that's the label with highest probability. Using the solution from 2 the Bayes error at  $X = x_0$  is 0.5

4. Consider a naive classifier  $\hat{f}$ , called random guessing, which randomly picks one label from  $\mathcal{C} = \{1, 2, 3\}$  with equal probability. Compare its expected error rate at  $X = x_0$  with the Bayes error from part 3.

### Solution (1/2).

The expected error rate of  $\hat{f}$  at  $x_0$  is

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\Big[1\{\hat{f}(X) \neq Y\} \mid X = x_0\Big] &= \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) \neq Y \mid X = x_0) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = Y \mid X = x_0) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = c \mid X = x_0) \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = c \mid X = x_0) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = c \mid X = x_0) \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = c) \end{split}$$

Continues in next slide

### Solution (2/2).

The expected error rate of  $\hat{f}$  at  $x_0$  is

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[1\{\hat{f}(X) \neq Y\} \mid X = x_0\Big] = 1 - \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = c \mid X = x_0) \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = c)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{P}(Y = c \mid X = x_0)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{3}[0.5 + 0.3 + 0.2] = \frac{2}{3},$$

which is greater than the Bayes error.



We will prove that a random guessing classifier for binary classification has the area under the curve (AUC) equal to 1/2.

Suppose  $Y \in \{0,1\}$  with Y = 1 meaning *true*, and *false* otherwise.

Consider the following random guessing classifier at any X = x

$$\hat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with prob. equal to } p \\ 0, & \text{with prob. equal to } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

with any p chosen from [0,1].

1. Prove that the expected AUC of this random classifier with p varying within [0,1] is 1/2.

#### Proof.

The expected FPR is

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = 1 \mid Y = 0) = \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = 1 = p)$$

while the expected FNR is

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = 0 \mid Y = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = 0) = 1 - p.$$

Note that the expected TPR is  $1 - \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(X) = 0 \mid Y = 1) = p$ . Therefore, the AUC of  $\hat{f}$  is

$$\int_0^1 p dp = \frac{1}{2}.$$



2. Let  $\eta(x) := \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = x)$  for any x. Write the expected error rate of  $\hat{f}$  at X = x in terms of  $\eta(x)$  and p.

### Proof.

The expected error rate at X = x equals to

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(Y \neq \hat{f}(x) \mid X = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Y = 1, \hat{f}(x) = 0 \mid X = x) + \mathbb{P}(Y = 0, \hat{f}(x) = 1 \mid X = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = x) \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(x) = 0 \mid X = x) \\ &+ \mathbb{P}(Y = 0 \mid X = x) \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(x) = 1 \mid X = x) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = x) \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(x) = 0) + \mathbb{P}(Y = 0 \mid X = x) \mathbb{P}(\hat{f}(x) = 1) \\ &= \eta(x)(1 - p) + (1 - \eta(x)) p. \end{split}$$

3. If you have the flexibility of choosing p in your expression of part 2, which choice minimizes the expected error rate of  $\hat{f}$  at X = x? Is the resulting classifier equivalent to the Bayes classifier? State your explanation.

#### Proof.

We choose

$$p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) \ge 1/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The resulting classifier only equals to the Bayes classifier at this point but not in general. Indeed, to match the Bayes classifier for all x, we need to allow p = p(x) being a function that depends on x.